

Squamous Cell Carcinoma of the Anus

Local Excision Specimen

Histopathology Reporting Guide

Family/Last name Date of birth Given name(s) Patient identifiers Date of request Accession/Laboratory number Elements in **black text** are **CORE**. Elements in **grey text** are **NON-CORE**.☐ indicates multi-select values ☐ indicates single select values

SCOPE OF THIS DATASET

CLINICAL INFORMATION (Note 1)☐ Information not provided☒ Information provided**Previous chemo/radiotherapy**☐ Information not provided☐ No☒ Yes, *specify***Previous biopsy**☐ Information not provided☐ No☐ Yes**Clinical diagnosis and relevant history (e.g., HIV status, HPV status, solid organ transplant), *specify*****Information about any suture markers in the specimen, *specify*****Other clinical information, *specify*****SPECIMEN DIMENSIONS** (Note 2)

Greatest dimension

Additional dimensions

 x ☐ Cannot be assessed, *specify***TUMOUR SITE** (select all that apply) (Note 3)☐ Not specified☐ Anal canal☐ Perianal skin☐ Anus, not otherwise specified☒ Other, *specify***MAXIMUM TUMOUR DIMENSION** (Note 4)

Greatest dimension

☐ Macroscopic
☐ Microscopic☐ Cannot be assessed, *specify***BLOCK IDENTIFICATION KEY** (Note 5)

(List overleaf or separately with an indication of the nature and origin of all tissue blocks)

HISTOLOGICAL TUMOUR TYPE (Note 6)☐ Squamous cell carcinoma☐ Verrucous squamous cell carcinoma**HISTOLOGICAL TUMOUR GRADE** (Note 7)☐ Low grade☐ High grade**LYMPHOVASCULAR INVASION** (Note 8)☐ Not identified☐ Present**PERINEURAL INVASION** (Note 9)☐ Not identified☐ Present

MARGIN STATUS (Note 10)**Peripheral margin**

- ☐ Cannot be assessed
- ☐ Not involved by carcinoma

Distance to margin mm

- ☐ Involved by carcinoma
- ☐ Not involved by squamous intraepithelial lesion (SIL)
- ☐ Involved by SIL

Deep margin

- ☐ Cannot be assessed
- ☐ Not involved by carcinoma

Distance to margin mm

- ☐ Involved by carcinoma

ADDITIONAL FINDINGS (select all that apply) (Note 11)

- ☐ None identified
- ☐ Low grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (LSIL)
(includes condyloma acuminatum/viral wart)
- ☐ High grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (HSIL)
- ☐ Anal fistula
- ☐ Crohn's disease
- ☐ Other, specify

ANCILLARY STUDIES (Note 12)

- ☐ Not performed
- ☐ Performed
- ☐ p16 immunohistochemistry
- ☐ Other, record test(s), methodology and result(s)

Representative blocks for ancillary studies**NON-NEOPLASTIC TISSUE BLOCK**

Block number

- ☐ Not available

CARCINOMA BLOCK

Block number

- ☐ Not available

Neoplastic cell proportion
(number of carcinoma cells/
total number of nucleated cells)
(to the nearest 10%) %

HISTOLOGICALLY CONFIRMED DISTANT METASTASES (Note 13)

- ☐ Not applicable
- ☐ Not identified
- ☐ Present (M1), specify site(s)

PATHOLOGICAL STAGING (UICC TNM 9th edition)^a (Note 14)**TNM Descriptors** (only if applicable) (select all that apply)

- ☐ m - multiple primary tumours
- ☐ y - post-therapy
- ☐ r - recurrent

Primary tumour (pT)

- ☐ TX^b Primary tumour cannot be assessed
- ☐ T0 No evidence of primary tumour
- ☐ Tis^c Carcinoma in situ, HSIL, anal intraepithelial neoplasia II–III (AIN II–III) anal squamous intraepithelial neoplasia H (ASIN-H)
- ☐ T1 Tumour 2 cm or less in greatest dimension
- ☐ T2 Tumour more than 2 cm but not more than 5 cm in greatest dimension
- ☐ T3 Tumour more than 5 cm in greatest dimension
- ☐ T4 Tumour of any size invades adjacent organ(s), e.g., vagina, urethra, bladder^d

Regional lymph nodes (pN)

- ☐ NX^b Regional lymph nodes cannot be assessed
- ☐ N0 No regional lymph node metastasis
- ☐ N1 Metastasis in regional lymph node(s)
- ☐ N1a Metastases in inguinal, mesorectal, and/or internal iliac nodes
- ☐ N1b Metastases in external iliac nodes
- ☐ N1c Metastases in external iliac and in inguinal, mesorectal and/or internal iliac nodes

^a Reproduced with permission. Source: UICC TNM Classification of Malignant Tumours, 9th Edition, eds by James Brierley, Meredith Giuliani, Brian O'Sullivan, Brian Rous, Elizabeth Van Eycken. 2025, Publisher Wiley (incorporating any errata published up until 18 July 2026).

^b TX and NX should be used only if absolutely necessary.

^c The AJCC v9 staging system for anal cancer has removed pTis. The ICCR dataset authors also do not encourage use of the pTis category.

^d Direct invasion of the rectal wall, perianal skin, subcutaneous tissue, or the sphincter muscle(s) alone is not classified as T4.

Definitions

CORE elements

CORE elements are those which are essential for the clinical management, staging or prognosis of the cancer. These elements will either have evidentiary support at Level III-2 or above (based on prognostic factors in the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) levels of evidence¹). In rare circumstances, where level III-2 evidence is not available an element may be made a CORE element where there is unanimous agreement by the Dataset Authoring Committee (DAC). An appropriate staging system, e.g., Pathological TNM staging, would normally be included as a CORE element.

Molecular and immunohistochemical testing is a growing feature of cancer reporting. However, in many parts of the world this type of testing is limited by the available resources. In order to encourage the global adoption of ancillary tests for patient benefit, International Collaboration on Cancer Reporting (ICCR) includes the most relevant ancillary testing in ICCR Datasets as CORE elements, especially when they are necessary for the diagnosis. Where the technical capability does not yet exist, laboratories may consider temporarily using these data elements as NON-CORE items.

The summation of all CORE elements is considered to be the minimum reporting standard for a specific cancer.

NON-CORE elements

NON-CORE elements are those which are unanimously agreed should be included in the dataset but are not supported by level III-2 evidence. These elements may be clinically important and recommended as good practice but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.

Key information other than that which is essential for clinical management, staging or prognosis of the cancer such as macroscopic observations and interpretation, which are fundamental to the histological diagnosis and conclusion e.g., macroscopic tumour details, may be included as either CORE or NON-CORE elements by consensus of DAC.

 [Back](#)

Scope

This dataset is currently intended only for squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) of the anus. It has been developed for the pathological reporting of local excision specimens only; there is a separate ICCR dataset for abdominoperineal excision (APE) specimens.² Diagnostic biopsy specimens are exempt from ICCR reporting requirements.

The term 'anal SCC' is used hereafter as an umbrella one to include both anal canal and perianal SCC. Adenocarcinomas and neuroendocrine neoplasms are excluded (see below for reasoning). Malignant tumours that are not epithelial (e.g., melanoma, lymphoma and sarcoma) are also excluded.

In accordance with current World Health Organization (WHO) recommendations,³ the term squamous intraepithelial lesion (SIL) is used throughout this dataset instead of for example, anal intraepithelial neoplasia (AIN) or squamous dysplasia.

In development of this dataset, the DAC considered evidence up until July 2026.

The authors of this dataset can be accessed [here](#).

↑ Back

Note 1 – Clinical information (Core and Non-core)

For reasons explained below, oncological therapy (defined as radiotherapy and/or chemotherapy) administered before excision of an anal SCC does not represent true neo-adjuvant management and is therefore referred to hereafter as ‘prior chemo/radiotherapy’. Knowing whether a patient had received prior chemo/radiotherapy will influence pathological staging and microscopic assessment of the primary tumour excised.

Local excision of smaller anal canal and/or perianal SCC is rarely preceded by oncological therapy.

Knowledge of previous sampling of the tumour may help explain an absence of malignancy and/or any artefactual changes in an excision specimen.

If repeat surgery is planned for a perianal SCC which extends to a specific peripheral margin of a local excision specimen, the entire scar and surrounding tissue will typically be excised. Therefore, orientation of a specimen to identify the anatomical positioning of any involved peripheral margin is usually not needed.

↑ Back

Note 2 – Specimen dimensions (Core and non-core)

Pathologists have traditionally documented three dimensions for local excision specimens containing a neoplasm. However, the size of such a specimen for anal SCC has no known prognostic value and does not influence the patient’s post-excision management.^{4,5} Therefore, while a maximum dimension should be recorded to give some indication of the size of excised tissue, all other dimensions are non-core items only.

↑ Back

Note 3 – Tumour site (Core)

Union for International Cancer Control (UICC)/American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) TNM9 classifies all “anal margin tumours and perianal tumours arising within 5 centimetres (cm) of the anal margin” as carcinomas of the anus.^{6,7} The term ‘anal margin’ has been used in different ways, e.g., to mean the area below the dentate line or the zone of perianal skin up to 5 cm (50 millimetres (mm)) beyond the anal verge.^{5,8} This dataset therefore avoids use of the term ‘anal margin’ and uses the terms ‘perianal skin’, ‘anal verge’, and ‘anal canal’. The term ‘anus’ encompasses these regions.

Distinguishing between an anal canal cancer and a perianal cancer can be clinically important in view of recommendations that pT1 perianal SCCs can be initially managed with complete local excision as opposed to chemoradiotherapy (which is first line therapy for anal canal carcinomas).^{4,5}

It is acknowledged that the precise origin of larger tumours cannot be assessed especially when they cross the anal verge. While the option of 'cannot be assessed' is applicable here, the patient would most likely be managed as for an anal canal carcinoma because the larger size of the tumour would usually exceed a pT1 tumour, and involvement of the anal canal increases the risk of spread to internal pelvic nodes which are better managed with oncological therapy.

 [Back](#)

Note 4 – Maximum tumour dimension (Core)

The UICC/AJCC TNM9 definitions of pT1, 2 and 3 for anal cancer are based on tumour size.^{6,7} Presuming the histological block(s) demonstrate(s) the maximum dimension of the tumour, a microscopic measurement gives a more accurate tumour size and is therefore preferable. However, if the whole tumour is not blocked as one slide or across composite slides, a macroscopic measurement of maximum size is acceptable instead. It should be stated whether the tumour size was measured macroscopically or microscopically. Including both macroscopic and microscopic measurements of maximum tumour size in one dataset may confuse its users and is therefore strongly discouraged.

 [Back](#)

Note 5 – Block identification key (Non-core)

The origin/designation of all tissue blocks should be recorded. This information should ideally be documented in the final pathology report and is particularly important when further internal or external review arises. The reviewer needs to have an unequivocal description of the origin of each block to provide an informed specialist opinion. If this information is not included in the final pathology report, it should be available on the laboratory computer system and relayed to the reviewing pathologist.

Recording the origin/designation of tissue blocks also facilitates retrieval of blocks for future immunohistochemical or genomic analysis, research studies, or clinical trials.

 [Back](#)

Note 6 – Histological tumour type (Core)

All SCCs of the anus should be classified based on the 6th edition WHO Classification of Digestive System Tumours, 2026 (Table 1).³ Adenocarcinoma is far less common than SCC in the anal canal and perianal skin.³ The TNM staging for anal carcinoma is primarily designed for SCC, e.g., in using similar, size based criteria as for skin SCC and oral SCC staging.^{6,7} It remains uncertain if there is equal clinical merit in applying this anal staging system to adenocarcinoma, which might be better staged according to extent of invasion through anatomical layers of the bowel wall,⁹ akin to rectal adenocarcinoma. Indeed, a proportion of adenocarcinomas presenting in the anal canal may actually represent low primary rectal adenocarcinoma. Both adenosquamous carcinoma and neuroendocrine carcinoma also arise within the anus. Again, both are much rarer than anal SCC and there remains a lack of evidence to indicate what staging system is best applied to these carcinoma subtypes. Therefore, this dataset and its TNM based staging will currently pertain only to SCC and the WHO recognised variant verrucous carcinoma.³ Future iterations of this dataset may

include adenocarcinoma and/or the other rare anal carcinoma subtypes, as further research emerges into how best to stage them in the anus.

Diagnostic criteria for verrucous carcinoma are outlined in detail elsewhere³ but by definition, this subtype of SCC should be low grade and should not show evidence of human papillomavirus. In line with current WHO recommendations,³ there is no need to document other morphological subtypes of SCC (e.g., basaloid or mucoepidermoid carcinoma), though recognising their existence is important to permit distinction from possible morphological mimics, e.g., small cell neuroendocrine carcinoma.

Table 1: 6th edition of the World Health Organization Classification of squamous cell carcinoma of the anus.³

Descriptor	ICD-O codes ^a
Squamous cell carcinoma, not otherwise specified (NOS)	80700/3
Verrucous squamous cell carcinoma	80510/3

^a These morphology codes are from the International Classification of Diseases for Oncology, fourth edition (ICD-O-4).¹⁰ Behaviour is coded /0 for benign tumours; /1 for unspecified, borderline, or uncertain behaviour; /2 for carcinoma in situ and grade III intraepithelial neoplasia; /3 for malignant tumours, primary site; and /6 for malignant tumours, metastatic site. Behaviour code /6 is not generally used by cancer registries.

© World Health Organization/International Agency for Research on Cancer. Reproduced with permission.

 [Back](#)

Note 7 – Histological tumour grade (Non-core)

Previously reported associations between tumour grade (i.e., degree of differentiation) and prognosis of anal SCC may have been compounded by association with stage,⁵ and there remains a lack of evidence that tumour grade represents an independent prognostic indicator.¹¹ Further, there are no internationally accepted criteria for defining different grades for anal SCC and in keeping with this, interobserver reproducibility of such grading has not been validated. The current National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines for anal cancer use carcinoma differentiation to help determine whether a perianal SCC should be managed by local excision alone.⁴ However, the current European Society for Medical Oncology (ESMO) guidelines do not recommend that tumour differentiation be used to make this management decision because its authors were unaware of any supporting evidence for this.⁵

For all these reasons, the consensus of the DAC was that histological tumour grade should not currently be a core element for anal SCC. However, if grade is reported, the following two-tier system is suggested because this will intrinsically yield better interobserver reproducibility than a system with more tiers: low grade is equivalent to well (grade 1) or moderately (grade 2) differentiated, whereas high grade is equivalent to poorly (grade 3) differentiated.

 [Back](#)

Note 8 – Lymphovascular invasion (Non-core)

The presence of lymphovascular invasion has not been confirmed as an independent prognostic predictor for anal SCC nor does it currently influence patient management after excision. It is therefore considered a non-core element and as such, there is no clinical requirement to distinguish lymphatic from blood vessel invasion.

 [Back](#)

Note 9 – Perineural invasion (Non-core)

The presence of perineural invasion has not been confirmed as an independent prognostic predictor for anal SCC and its presence does not currently influence patient management after excision of this cancer type.

 [Back](#)

Note 10 – Margin status (Core)

The definition of an involved margin is the presence of carcinoma within 1 mm of the margin. There is less evidence base for using this definition for anal SCC compared with surgically excised rectal adenocarcinoma¹² and oesophageal cancer.¹³ However, of the United Kingdom Coordinating Centre for Cancer Research (UKCCCR) Anal Cancer Trial patients who underwent salvage APE, 25% developed pelvic recurrence when clearance from a circumferential resection margin (CRM) was >1 mm whereas 60% developed such recurrence when this clearance was ≤1 mm.¹⁴ Further, the current ESMO guidelines recommend oncological therapy for any patient whose anal SCC lies ≤1 mm from a margin in a local excision specimen.⁵ Therefore, until evidence emerges to change the definition, an involved margin remains defined as presence of SCC within 1 mm of the surgical margin.

Involvement of a peripheral margin by SIL is clinically relevant only for local excision specimens because if present, this may increase clinical surveillance of the area thereafter.

 [Back](#)

Note 11 – Additional findings (Non-core)

These are recognised potential associations of anal SCC.

 [Back](#)

Note 12 – Ancillary studies (Non-core)

p16 immunohistochemistry may be used to help diagnose and/or grade SIL.^{3,15} However, its role for anal SCC remains to be fully validated. For oropharyngeal SCC, p16 expression is used as a surrogate marker for human papillomavirus (HPV) infection and as a predictor of better response to chemoradiotherapy, therefore guiding patient management.^{16,17} While there is growing clinical interest in similarly using p16

immunohistochemistry for diagnostic biopsies of anal SCC, like cervical SCC, the majority are HPV-associated cancers; first line management for anal SCC currently remains local excision if the tumour is small and perianal, and chemoradiotherapy if not.^{4,5} Finally, there is only limited evidence (only six studies could be used for a recent meta-analysis¹⁸) that p16 expression predicts for a better prognosis for anal SCC. p16 immunohistochemistry therefore has even less relevance for anal SCC which has been excised.

PDL1 may emerge as a future therapeutic biomarker for anal SCC.⁵

Prospective recording of the specific identification numbers of neoplastic and non-neoplastic tissue blocks can facilitate future research and can expedite tissue processing for genomic testing in the future. If such testing involves RNA or DNA extraction, prospective recording of neoplastic cell proportion will further expedite tissue processing.

 **Back**

Note 13 – Histologically confirmed distant metastases (Core)

For anal SCC, the sites of distant metastases include lymph nodes beyond the groups defining N1 disease and any organ outside the anal canal, especially the liver.^{6,7} The element is only needs to be recorded if a histological specimen proving distant spread (e.g., a core or fine needle aspiration biopsy of a liver metastasis) was submitted together with the excision specimen.

 **Back**

Note 14 – Pathological staging (Core)

TNM staging should be assessed according to the agreed criteria of the UICC)/AJCC 9th Cancer Staging Manuals.^{6,7} However, it is noted that the AJCC v9 staging system⁷ has removed the pTis category, and the ICCR dataset authors similarly do not encourage use of this category. This omission acknowledges that it is difficult to histologically distinguish between high grade squamous dysplasia and so-called squamous 'carcinoma-in-situ'.

Note that in the setting of completion surgery following a diagnosis of carcinoma in a local excision specimen, an overall tumour stage should be provided based on the pathological findings within both specimens, usually taking into consideration extent of local invasion in the local excision specimen and any residual local or nodal metastatic tumour in the subsequent surgical resection specimen.

Reporting of pathological staging categories (pT,pN,pM) is based on the evidence available to the pathologist at the time of reporting the resection specimen. A pT category is not assigned on biopsy. As indicated in UICC/AJCC TNM 9th edition,^{6,7} the final stage grouping of a patient's tumour is based on a combination of pathological staging and other clinical and imaging information.

 **Back**

References

- 1 Merlin T, Weston A and Tooher R (2009). Extending an evidence hierarchy to include topics other than treatment: revising the Australian 'levels of evidence'. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 9:34.
- 2 International Collaboration on Cancer Reporting (2026). *Squamous Cell Carcinoma of the Anus Abdominoperineal Excision Specimen Histopathology Reporting Guide. 1st edition*. Available from: <https://www.iccr-cancer.org/datasets/published-datasets/digestive-tract/> (Accessed 20 August 2026).
- 3 WHO Classification of Tumours Editorial Board (ed) (2026). *WHO Classification of Tumours, Digestive System Tumours, 6th Edition*, IARC, Lyon.
- 4 Benson AB, Venook AP, Al-Hawary MM, Azad N, Chen YJ, Ciombor KK, Cohen S, Cooper HS, Deming D, Garrido-Laguna I, Grem JL, Hecht JR, Hoffe S, Hubbard J, Hunt S, Hussan H, Jeck W, Johung KL, Joseph N, Kirilcuk N, Krishnamurthi S, Maratt J, Messersmith WA, Meyerhardt J, Miller ED, Mulcahy MF, Nurkin S, Overman MJ, Parikh A, Patel H, Pedersen K, Saltz L, Schneider C, Shibata D, Skibber JM, Sofocleous CT, Stotsky-Himelfarb E, Tavakkoli A, Willett CG, Williams G, Algieri F, Gurski L and Stehman K (2023). Anal Carcinoma, Version 2.2023, NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw* 21(6):653–677.
- 5 Rao S, Guren MG, Khan K, Brown G, Renehan AG, Steigen SE, Deutsch E, Martinelli E and Arnold D (2021). Anal cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up(☆). *Ann Oncol* 32(9):1087–1100.
- 6 Brierley JD, Giuliani M, O'Sullivan B, Rous B and Van Eycken E (eds) (2025). *Union for International Cancer Control. TNM Classification of Malignant Tumours, 9th Edition*, Wiley, USA.
- 7 Goodman KA, Gollub M, Eng C, Brierley J, Palefsky J, Gress DM, Williams AJ, Goldberg R (2022). *AJCC Cancer Staging System: Anus: Version 9 of the AJCC Cancer Staging System*. Washington MK (ed). American College of Surgeons.
- 8 Scholefield JH and Nugent KP (2011). Anal cancer. Position statement of the Association of Coloproctology of Great Britain and Ireland introduction. *Colorectal Dis* 13 Suppl 1:1–2.
- 9 Troester A, Kohn J, Wang Q, Weaver L, Hassan I, Gaertner W, Marmor S and Goffredo P (2024). Management and staging of anal adenocarcinoma in the United States: a population-based analysis. *J Gastrointest Surg* 28(4):519–527.
- 10 International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) (2026). *International Classification of Diseases for Oncology, Fourth edition ICD-O-4*. Available from: tumourclassification.iarc.who.int/icd-o-4/ (Accessed 20 August 2026).
- 11 Shepherd NA, Scholefield JH, Love SB, England J and Northover JM (1990). Prognostic factors in anal squamous carcinoma: a multivariate analysis of clinical, pathological and flow cytometric parameters in 235 cases. *Histopathology* 16(6):545–555.
- 12 Nagtegaal ID and Quirke P (2008). What is the role for the circumferential margin in the modern treatment of rectal cancer? *J Clin Oncol* 26(2):303–312.

- 13 Salih T, Jose P, Mehta SP, Mirza A, Udall G, Pritchard SA, Hayden JD and Grabsch HI (2013). Prognostic significance of cancer within 1 mm of the circumferential resection margin in oesophageal cancer patients following neo-adjuvant chemotherapy. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 43(3):562–567.
- 14 Hill J, Meadows H, Haboubi N, Talbot IC and Northover JM (2003). Pathological staging of epidermoid anal carcinoma for the new era. *Colorectal Dis* 5(3):206–213.
- 15 Liu Y, McCluggage WG, Darragh TM, Farhat N, Blakely M, Sigel K, Zheng W, Westra WH and Gaisa MM (2021). p16 Immunoreactivity Correlates With Morphologic Diagnosis of HPV-associated Anal Intraepithelial Neoplasia: A Study of 1000 Biopsies. *Am J Surg Pathol* 45(11):1573–1578.
- 16 Ang KK and Sturgis EM (2012). Human papillomavirus as a marker of the natural history and response to therapy of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Semin Radiat Oncol* 22(2):128–142.
- 17 Machiels JP, René Leemans C, Golusinski W, Grau C, Licitra L and Gregoire V (2020). Squamous cell carcinoma of the oral cavity, larynx, oropharynx and hypopharynx: EHNS-ESMO-ESTRO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol* 31(11):1462–1475.
- 18 Urbute A, Rasmussen CL, Belmonte F, Obermueller T, Prigge ES, Arbyn M, Verdoodt F and Kjaer SK (2020). Prognostic Significance of HPV DNA and p16(INK4a) in Anal Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 29(4):703–710.