| General Hospital Athology Carcinoma In Si | Ductal Carcinoma In Situ, Variants of Lobular Carcinoma In Situ and Low Grade Lesions Histopathology Reporting Guide | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Date of birth DD – MM – YYYY ee of request Accession/Laboratory number DD – MM – YYYY CORE. SCOPE OF THIS DATASET | | | |
| CLINICAL INFORMATION (Note 1) Information not provided Presentation mode Symptomatic Current clinical findings for which this surgery is performed (select all that apply) Information not provided Paget disease of the nipple Nipple discharge Palpable mass Other, specify Prior history of breast cancer Information not provided No Yes, specify laterality, site(s), diagnosis, and prior treatment(s) | OPERATIVE PROCEDURE ^a (Note 2) Not specified Excision (less than total mastectomy) Diagnostic excision/excision biopsy/localisation biopsy Therapeutic wide local excision Duct excision/microdochectomy Re-excision Total mastectomy Simple mastectomy Simple-sparing mastectomy Skin-sparing mastectomy Modified radical mastectomy Radical mastectomy Additional specimens, specify * If a lymph node staging specimen is submitted, then a separate dataset | | | |
| Imaging modality (select all that apply) Information not provided None Mammography Ultrasound Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) Other, specify | is used to record the information. SPECIMEN LATERALITY (Note 3) Left Right Not specified SPECIMEN DIMENSIONS mm x mm x mm | | | |
| Radiological findings (select all that apply) Information not provided None Single lesion Multiple lesions Calcifications Architectural distortion Mass Other, specify Extent by imaging, if available mm | SPECIMEN WEIGHT | | | |
| Clip inserted Yes No Not known Specimen x-ray available Information not provided Yes No Known genetic predisposition Information not provided None Gene predisposition, specify Other clinical information, specify | Position, specify o'clock OR Upper outer quadrant Lower outer quadrant Upper inner quadrant Lower inner quadrant Central Other, specify | | | |

ISBN: 978-1-922324-10-8

| TUMOUR DIMENSIONS (Note 5) | | MARC | MARGIN STATUS ^e (Note 10) | |
|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------|
| No residual ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) or lesion (dimension from previous core biopsy) | | sion | ○ Cannot be assessed | |
| Maximum dimension of D | | A | nterior margin | |
| measurement rounded to | | mm | Involvement cannot be determined, spe | ecify |
| | | | | |
| Additional dimensions | mm × | mm | | |
| | | | Involved Extent of margin involvement | mn |
| Number of microinvasi | ive foci | C |) Not involved | |
| | | | Distance of tumour from closest | |
| Cannot be assessed, s | specify | | margin (if <5 mm) | mn |
| | | | ○ ≥5 mm | |
| | | | Cannot be determined, <i>specify</i> | |
| sased on a combination of mac | croscopic and microscopic asse | issment. | | |
| IAGNOSTIC CLASSIFIC | ATTON (select all that apply |) (Note 6) | | |
| (Value list based on the W | Vorld Health Organization | | osterior margin | |
| Classification of Breast Tu | ımours (2019)) | (|) Involvement cannot be determined, spe | ecify |
| DCIS | | | | - |
| Paget disease of the n | | | | |
| Encapsulated papillary | | |] Involved | |
| Solid papillary carcino | ma in situ arcinoma in situ (LCIS) | | Extent of margin involvement | mr |
| Florid LCIS | | | Not involved | |
| Mixed, <i>specify subtype</i> | es present ^c | | Distance of tumour from closest | mr |
| | | | margin (if <5 mm) | |
| | | | ○ ≥5 mm | |
| Other, <i>specify</i> | | | Cannot be determined, <i>specify</i> | |
| • | | | • | |
| | | | | |
| Tumour exhibiting more than o | ne tumour type should be desi | | un euleu menuela | |
| | | ignated S | uperior margin | |
| | | ignated S | Involvement cannot be determined, spe | ecify |
| mixed and the types present st | cated. | ignated S | | ecify |
| mixed and the types present st IISTOLOGICAL NUCLEAR (Applicable to DCIS, encap | ated. R GRADE (Note 7) psulated papillary carcinon | Ę | Involvement cannot be determined, spe | ecify |
| mixed and the types present st | ated. R GRADE (Note 7) psulated papillary carcinon | Ę | Involvement cannot be determined, spe | |
| mixed and the types present st HISTOLOGICAL NUCLEAR (Applicable to DCIS, encapsolid papillary carcinoma i Grade 1 (Low) | ated. R GRADE (Note 7) psulated papillary carcinon in situ) | Ę | Involvement cannot be determined, spe | |
| mixed and the types present st IISTOLOGICAL NUCLEAR (Applicable to DCIS, encapsolid papillary carcinoma i Grade 1 (Low) Grade 2 (Intermediate | ated. R GRADE (Note 7) psulated papillary carcinon in situ) | Ę | Involvement cannot be determined, spe | |
| mixed and the types present st IISTOLOGICAL NUCLEAR (Applicable to DCIS, encapolicable to DCIS, encapolicable to DCIS, encapolitary carcinoma i Grade 1 (Low) | ated. R GRADE (Note 7) psulated papillary carcinon in situ) | Ę | Involvement cannot be determined, spe | ecify mn mn |
| mixed and the types present st IISTOLOGICAL NUCLEAN (Applicable to DCIS, enca, solid papillary carcinoma i Grade 1 (Low) Grade 2 (Intermediate Grade 3 (High) | rated. R GRADE (Note 7) psulated papillary carcinon in situ) | na and | Involvement cannot be determined, specific Involved Extent of margin involvement Not involved Distance of tumour from closest | mn |
| mixed and the types present st IISTOLOGICAL NUCLEAR (Applicable to DCIS, enca, solid papillary carcinoma i Grade 1 (Low) Grade 2 (Intermediate Grade 3 (High) IISTOLOGICAL ARCHITE | eated. R GRADE (Note 7) psulated papillary carcinon in situ) CTURAL PATTERN (select | na and | Involvement cannot be determined, specific s | mn |
| mixed and the types present st IISTOLOGICAL NUCLEAN (Applicable to DCIS, encap- solid papillary carcinoma i Grade 1 (Low) Grade 2 (Intermediate Grade 3 (High) IISTOLOGICAL ARCHITE (Applicable to DCIS only) | eated. R GRADE (Note 7) psulated papillary carcinon in situ) CTURAL PATTERN (select | na and | <pre>Involvement cannot be determined, spe Involved Extent of margin involvement Not involved Distance of tumour from closest margin (if <5 mm)</pre> | mn |
| mixed and the types present st IISTOLOGICAL NUCLEAR (Applicable to DCIS, encapsolid papillary carcinoma i Grade 1 (Low) Grade 2 (Intermediate Grade 3 (High) IISTOLOGICAL ARCHITE (Applicable to DCIS only) Cribriform | eated. R GRADE (Note 7) psulated papillary carcinon in situ) CTURAL PATTERN (select | na and | <pre>Involvement cannot be determined, spe Involved Extent of margin involvement Not involved Distance of tumour from closest margin (if <5 mm)</pre> | mn |
| mixed and the types present st IISTOLOGICAL NUCLEAN (Applicable to DCIS, encap- solid papillary carcinoma i Grade 1 (Low) Grade 2 (Intermediate Grade 3 (High) IISTOLOGICAL ARCHITE (Applicable to DCIS only) Cribriform Micropapillary | eated. R GRADE (Note 7) psulated papillary carcinon in situ) CTURAL PATTERN (select | na and all that apply) (Note 8) | <pre>Involvement cannot be determined, spe Involved Extent of margin involvement Not involved Distance of tumour from closest margin (if <5 mm) ○ ≥5 mm ○ Cannot be determined, specify</pre> | mn |
| <pre>mixed and the types present st ISTOLOGICAL NUCLEAN (Applicable to DCIS, enca, solid papillary carcinoma i Grade 1 (Low) Grade 2 (Intermediate Grade 3 (High) ISTOLOGICAL ARCHITE (Applicable to DCIS only) Cribriform Micropapillary Papillary</pre> | eated. R GRADE (Note 7) psulated papillary carcinon in situ) CTURAL PATTERN (select | na and all that apply) (Note 8) | <pre>Involvement cannot be determined, spe Involved Extent of margin involvement Not involved Distance of tumour from closest margin (if <5 mm) ○ ≥5 mm ○ Cannot be determined, specify</pre> | mr |
| mixed and the types present st ISTOLOGICAL NUCLEAN (Applicable to DCIS, encapsolid papillary carcinoma i Grade 1 (Low) Grade 2 (Intermediate Grade 3 (High) ISTOLOGICAL ARCHITE (Applicable to DCIS only) Cribriform Micropapillary Papillary Solid | eated. R GRADE (Note 7) <i>psulated papillary carcinon</i> <i>in situ</i>) CTURAL PATTERN (select | na and all that apply) (Note 8) | <pre>Involvement cannot be determined, spe Involved Extent of margin involvement Not involved Distance of tumour from closest margin (if <5 mm) ○ ≥5 mm ○ Cannot be determined, specify</pre> | mr |
| mixed and the types present st IISTOLOGICAL NUCLEAN (Applicable to DCIS, encapsolid papillary carcinoma i Grade 1 (Low) Grade 2 (Intermediate Grade 3 (High) IISTOLOGICAL ARCHITE (Applicable to DCIS only) Cribriform Micropapillary Papillary | eated. R GRADE (Note 7) <i>psulated papillary carcinon</i> <i>in situ</i>) CTURAL PATTERN (select | na and all that apply) (Note 8) | <pre>Involvement cannot be determined, spe Involved Extent of margin involvement Not involved Distance of tumour from closest margin (if <5 mm) ○ ≥5 mm ○ Cannot be determined, specify</pre> | mr |
| mixed and the types present st ISTOLOGICAL NUCLEAN (Applicable to DCIS, encapsolid papillary carcinoma i Grade 1 (Low) Grade 2 (Intermediate Grade 3 (High) ISTOLOGICAL ARCHITE (Applicable to DCIS only) Cribriform Micropapillary Papillary Solid | eated. R GRADE (Note 7) <i>psulated papillary carcinon</i> <i>in situ</i>) CTURAL PATTERN (select | na and all that apply) (Note 8) | <pre>Involvement cannot be determined, spe Involved Extent of margin involvement Not involved Distance of tumour from closest margin (if <5 mm) ○ ≥5 mm ○ Cannot be determined, specify</pre> | mr |
| mixed and the types present st IISTOLOGICAL NUCLEAN (Applicable to DCIS, encapsolid papillary carcinoma i Grade 1 (Low) Grade 2 (Intermediate Grade 3 (High) IISTOLOGICAL ARCHITE (Applicable to DCIS only) Cribriform Micropapillary Papillary Solid Other (e.g., clinging/fl | R GRADE (Note 7) psulated papillary carcinon in situ) CTURAL PATTERN (select lat ^d), specify | na and all that apply) (Note 8) | <pre>Involvement cannot be determined, spe Involved Extent of margin involvement Not involved Distance of tumour from closest margin (if <5 mm) ○ ≥5 mm ○ Cannot be determined, specify</pre> | mn |
| mixed and the types present st IISTOLOGICAL NUCLEAN (Applicable to DCIS, encap- solid papillary carcinoma i Grade 1 (Low) Grade 2 (Intermediate Grade 3 (High) IISTOLOGICAL ARCHITE (Applicable to DCIS only) Cribriform Micropapillary Papillary Solid Other (e.g., clinging/fl | R GRADE (Note 7) psulated papillary carcinon in situ) CTURAL PATTERN (select lat ^d), specify | na and all that apply) (Note 8) | <pre>Involvement cannot be determined, spe Involved Extent of margin involvement Not involved Distance of tumour from closest margin (if <5 mm)</pre> | mn ecify |
| <pre>mixed and the types present st IISTOLOGICAL NUCLEAN (Applicable to DCIS, enca, solid papillary carcinoma i Grade 1 (Low) Grade 2 (Intermediate Grade 3 (High) IISTOLOGICAL ARCHITE (Applicable to DCIS only) Cribriform Micropapillary Papillary Solid Other (e.g., clinging/fl Applies to high nuclear grade D </pre> | R GRADE (Note 7) psulated papillary carcinon in situ) CTURAL PATTERN (select lat ^d), specify | na and all that apply) (Note 8) | <pre>Involvement cannot be determined, spe Involved Extent of margin involvement Not involved Distance of tumour from closest margin (if <5 mm)</pre> | ecify mn |
| <pre>mixed and the types present st IISTOLOGICAL NUCLEAN (Applicable to DCIS, enca, solid papillary carcinoma i Grade 1 (Low) Grade 2 (Intermediate Grade 3 (High) IISTOLOGICAL ARCHITE (Applicable to DCIS only) Cribriform Micropapillary Papillary Solid Other (e.g., clinging/fl Applies to high nuclear grade D </pre> | R GRADE (Note 7) psulated papillary carcinon in situ) CTURAL PATTERN (select lat ^d), specify | na and all that apply) (Note 8) | Involvement cannot be determined, specific termined, specific term | ecify mr |
| <pre>mixed and the types present st IISTOLOGICAL NUCLEAN (Applicable to DCIS, enca, solid papillary carcinoma i</pre> | R GRADE (Note 7) psulated papillary carcinon in situ) CTURAL PATTERN (select lat ^d), specify | na and all that apply) (Note 8) | <pre>Involvement cannot be determined, spe Involved Extent of margin involvement Not involved Distance of tumour from closest margin (if <5 mm)</pre> | mr mr |
| mixed and the types present st HISTOLOGICAL NUCLEAN (Applicable to DCIS, encap- solid papillary carcinoma i Grade 1 (Low) Grade 2 (Intermediate Grade 3 (High) HISTOLOGICAL ARCHITE (Applicable to DCIS only) Cribriform Micropapillary Solid Other (e.g., clinging/fl Applies to high nuclear grade D NECROSIS (Note 9) | R GRADE (Note 7) psulated papillary carcinon in situ) CTURAL PATTERN (select lat ^d), specify | na and all that apply) (Note 8) | <pre>Involvement cannot be determined, spe Involved Extent of margin involvement Not involved Distance of tumour from closest margin (if <5 mm)</pre> | ecify mr |
| mixed and the types present st HISTOLOGICAL NUCLEAN (Applicable to DCIS, encal solid papillary carcinoma i Grade 1 (Low) Grade 2 (Intermediate Grade 3 (High) HISTOLOGICAL ARCHITE (Applicable to DCIS only) Cribriform Micropapillary Papillary Solid Other (e.g., clinging/fl Applies to high nuclear grade D NECROSIS (Note 9) Not identified Present Central (Comedo) | cated. R GRADE (Note 7) psulated papillary carcinon in situ) e) cctural pattern (select lat ^d), specify DCIS only. | na and all that apply) (Note 8) | <pre>Involvement cannot be determined, spe Involved Extent of margin involvement Not involved Distance of tumour from closest margin (if <5 mm)</pre> | ecify mr |

ISBN: 978-1-922324-10-8

© 2021 International Collaboration on Cancer Reporting Limited (ICCR).

|) Involvement cannot be determined, <i>spe</i> | cify | MICROCALCIFICATIONS (select all that apply) (Note 12) Not applicable |
|---|-------|---|
| | | Not applicable Not identified |
| | | Lesional calcification present |
| Involved | | Present in non-neoplastic tissue |
| Extent of margin involvement | mm | |
| Not involved | | ANCILLARY STUDIES (Note 13) |
| Distance of tumour from closest | | Not performed Performed (select all that apply) |
| margin (if <5 mm) | mm | |
| | | Estrogen receptor (ER), record results |
| Cannot be determined, <i>specify</i> | | |
| eral margin | | Progesterone receptor (PR), <i>record results</i> |
| Involvement cannot be determined, <i>spe</i> | ecify | |
| Involved | | |
| Extent of margin involvement | mm | Other, <i>specify test(s) and result(s)</i> |
| | | Y |
| Not involved | | |
| Distance of tumour from closest margin (if <5 mm) | mm | |
| ○ ≥5 mm | | Representative blocks for ancillary studies, specify |
| Cannot be determined, <i>specify</i> | | blocks best representing tumour and/or normal tissue for |
| | | further study |
| Involvement cannot be determined, spe | ecify | TNM Descriptors (only if applicable) (select all that apply) |
| | | m - multiple foci of DCIS |
| | | m - multiple foci of DCIS r - recurrent |
| Involved | | |
| Involved Extent of margin involvement | mm | r - recurrent Primary tumour (pT)^g TX Primary tumour cannot be assessed |
| ſ | mm | r - recurrent Primary tumour (pT)^g TX Primary tumour cannot be assessed T0 No evidence of primary tumour |
| Extent of margin involvement | | r - recurrent Primary tumour (pT)^g TX Primary tumour cannot be assessed |
| Extent of margin involvement Not involved Distance of tumour from closest margin (if <5 mm) | mm | r - recurrent Primary tumour (pT)⁹ TX Primary tumour cannot be assessed T0 No evidence of primary tumour Tis Lobular carcinoma in situ^h |
| Extent of margin involvement Not involved Distance of tumour from closest | | r - recurrent Primary tumour (pT)^g TX Primary tumour cannot be assessed T0 No evidence of primary tumour Tis Lobular carcinoma in situ^h (LCIS) Tis Ductal carcinoma in situ |
| Extent of margin involvement Not involved Distance of tumour from closest margin (if <5 mm) ○ ≥5 mm | | r - recurrent Primary tumour (pT)^g TX Primary tumour cannot be assessed T0 No evidence of primary tumour Tis Lobular carcinoma in situ^h (LCIS) Tis Ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) Tis Paget disease of the nipple not associated (Paget) with invasive carcinoma and/or carcinoma in situ (DCIS) in the underlying breast |
| Extent of margin involvement Not involved Distance of tumour from closest margin (if <5 mm) | | r - recurrent Primary tumour (pT)⁹ TX Primary tumour cannot be assessed T0 No evidence of primary tumour Tis Lobular carcinoma in situ^h (LCIS) Tis Ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) Tis Paget disease of the nipple not associated (Paget) with invasive carcinoma and/or carcinoma in si (DCIS and/or LCIS) in the underlying breast parenchymaⁱ T1mi Microinvasion 0.1 cm or less in greatest diment f Reproduced with permission. Source: UICC TNM Classification of Malignant Tumours, 8th Edition, eds by James D. Brierley, Mary K |
| Extent of margin involvement Not involved Distance of tumour from closest margin (if <5 mm) | | r - recurrent Primary tumour (pT)⁹ TX Primary tumour cannot be assessed T0 No evidence of primary tumour Tis Lobular carcinoma in situ^h (LCIS) Tis Ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) Tis Paget disease of the nipple not associated (Paget) with invasive carcinoma and/or carcinoma in si (DCIS and/or LCIS) in the underlying breast parenchymaⁱ T1mi Microinvasion 0.1 cm or less in greatest diment f Reproduced with permission. Source: UICC TNM Classification of |
| Extent of margin involvement Not involved Distance of tumour from closest margin (if <5 mm) | | r - recurrent Primary tumour (pT)⁹ TX Primary tumour cannot be assessed T0 No evidence of primary tumour Tis Lobular carcinoma in situ^h |
| Extent of margin involvement Not involved Distance of tumour from closest margin (if <5 mm) | | r - recurrent Primary tumour (pT)⁹ TX Primary tumour cannot be assessed T0 No evidence of primary tumour Tis Lobular carcinoma in situ^h (LCIS) Tis Ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) Tis Paget disease of the nipple not associated (Paget) with invasive carcinoma and/or carcinoma in si (DCIS and/or LCIS) in the underlying breast parenchymaⁱ T1mi Microinvasion 0.1 cm or less in greatest diment f Reproduced with permission. Source: UICC TNM Classification of Malignant Tumours, 8th Edition, eds by James D. Brierley, Mary K Gospodarowicz, Christian Wittekind. 2016, Publisher Wiley (incorporating any errata published up until 6th October 2020). Note that the results of surgically removed lymph nodes are derive from a separate dataset. |
| Extent of margin involvement Not involved Distance of tumour from closest margin (if <5 mm) Cannot be determined, specify Cannot be determined, specify PSY SITE (select all that apply) (Note 11) Information not provided Evidence of marker clip reaction | | r - recurrent Primary tumour (pT)⁹ TX Primary tumour cannot be assessed T0 No evidence of primary tumour Tis Lobular carcinoma in situ^h (LCIS) Tis Ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) Tis Paget disease of the nipple not associated (Paget) with invasive carcinoma and/or carcinoma in se (DCIS and/or LCIS) in the underlying breast parenchymaⁱ T1mi Microinvasion 0.1 cm or less in greatest diment ^f Reproduced with permission. Source: UICC TNM Classification of Malignant Tumours, 8th Edition, eds by James D. Brierley, Mary K Gospodarowicz, Christian Wittekind. 2016, Publisher Wiley (incorporating any errata published up until 6th October 2020). ^g Note that the results of surgically removed lymph nodes are derive from a separate dataset. ^h The AJCC exclude Tis (LCIS). ⁱ Carcinomas in the breast parenchyma associated with Paget diseased |
| Extent of margin involvement Not involved Distance of tumour from closest margin (if <5 mm) ○ ≥5 mm ○ Cannot be determined, specify SY SITE (select all that apply) (Note 11) Information not provided Evidence of marker clip reaction Evidence of previous core biopsy | | r - recurrent Primary tumour (pT)⁹ TX Primary tumour cannot be assessed T0 No evidence of primary tumour Tis Lobular carcinoma in situ^h |

Definitions

CORE elements

CORE elements are those which are essential for the clinical management, staging or prognosis of the cancer. These elements will either have evidentiary support at Level III-2 or above (based on prognostic factors in the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) levels of evidence¹). In rare circumstances, where level III-2 evidence is not available an element may be made a CORE element where there is unanimous agreement in the expert committee. An appropriate staging system e.g., Pathological TNM staging would normally be included as a CORE element.

The summation of all CORE elements is considered to be the minimum reporting standard for a specific cancer.

NON-CORE elements

NON-CORE elements are those which are unanimously agreed should be included in the dataset but are not supported by level III-2 evidence. These elements may be clinically important and recommended as good practice but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.

Key information other than that which is essential for clinical management, staging or prognosis of the cancer such as macroscopic observations and interpretation, which are fundamental to the histological diagnosis and conclusion e.g., macroscopic tumour details, may be included as either CORE or NON-CORE elements by consensus of the Dataset Authoring Committee.

1 Back

Scope

The dataset has been developed for the reporting of resection specimens for ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) of the breast. The protocol applies to cases of DCIS and for where microinvasion (≤1 millimetres (mm)) is present. It also covers other in situ lesions including pleomorphic and florid variants of lobular carcinoma in situ (LCIS), as well as encapsulated papillary carcinoma and solid papillary carcinoma in situ. This dataset may also be used in those rare cases of DCIS removed at core biopsy but without evidence of residual DCIS in a subsequent excision specimen^a. This protocol should only be used for re-excisions when they contain the largest extent of DCIS.

A separate dataset should be completed for bilateral DCIS and for each excision specimen in unilateral disease.

^a If no residual disease is identified, a biopsy scar should be sought and reported if present. If after surgical/radiological/pathological consultation, it is concluded that the entire lesion was removed with the biopsy, features of the biopsy should be reported as the final pathology.

Ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) (with or without microinvasion) diagnosed on needle core biopsies only, and residual DCIS post neoadjuvant therapy are outside the scope. Separate International Collaboration on Cancer Reporting (ICCR) datasets cover DCIS associated with invasive breast carcinomas² and breast resections in the neoadjuvant setting. Surgically removed lymph nodes are covered in a separate ICCR dataset which may be used, as appropriate, in conjunction with this dataset.³

The authors of this dataset can be accessed here.

1 Back

Note 1 - Clinical information (Core)

The provision of accurate clinical information is considered important to provide context to the specimen. This includes the nature of the abnormality, its method of detection, and the patient's medical history, including past history of breast disease or other cancer, prior treatments, and inherited genetic mutations, such as *BRCA1* or *BRCA2*.

1 Back

Note 2 - Operative procedure (Core)

The nature of the operation or procedure(s) performed is important to ensure appropriate pathological examination protocols are followed, and to inform clinical correlation and post-operative management. The nature, extent, focality of the abnormality and patient choice can influence the type of operation. Multiple procedures may be performed and sent as separate specimens, which require cross correlation. Many different surgical procedures are used to manage breast disease and, as appropriate, more details can be included as free text.

Partial mastectomy, lumpectomy and quadrantectomy/segmental excision are considered synonymous with wide local excision.

1 Back

Note 3 - Specimen laterality (Core)

Specification of the side and site in the breast is important for clinical correlation and accuracy of the patient medical record.

A separate dataset should be completed for each tumour in the instance of bilateral DCIS and for each excision in unilateral disease.

1 Back

Note 4 - Tumour site (Core)

A measure of distance from the nipple is required. Clock face delineation of location is a more commonly used determination of site than quadrant alone, but either is acceptable.

Specification of the side and site in the breast is important for clinical correlation, post-operative management discussion and accuracy of the patient medical record especially when there are multiple lesions for correlation with radiology/prior biopsies.

1 Back

Note 5 - Tumour dimensions (Core and Non-core)

Although not required for pT classification or stage assignment, the size (extent) of DCIS is an important factor in patient management^{4,5} as it is correlated with close or positive margins,^{6,7} the likelihood of residual disease after re-excision,⁶⁻⁹ local recurrence,^{4,10,11} and the possibility of missed areas of invasion.^{12,13} There may be challenges to size determination of DCIS, in which case multiple parameters including radiological input, will be helpful. Large sections (whole-mount) are useful for size evaluation.

Size should also be given for pleomorphic and florid LCIS lesions (but not classic LCIS which is considered a 'benign' lesion in the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) Staging Manual 8th edition¹⁴ (unlike the Union for International Cancer Control (UICC) TNM 8th edition¹⁵) where no invasive disease is seen; pleomorphic and florid LCIS behave more like DCIS being less likely to be multifocal/bilateral and having a higher incidence of associated ipsilateral invasive carcinoma than classic LCIS.

If no residual disease is identified, a biopsy scar should be sought and reported if present. If after surgical/radiological/pathological consultation, it is concluded that the entire lesion was removed with the biopsy, features of the biopsy should be reported as the final pathology.

1 Back

Note 6 - Diagnostic classification (Core)

To ensure consensus and consistency of reporting, it is recommended to use the nomenclature and definitions for diagnosis and classification provided by the most recent edition of the World Health Organization (WHO) Classification of Breast Tumours, 5th edition, 2019.¹⁶ The ICCR dataset includes 5th edition Corrigenda, September 2020.¹⁷

Ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) varies in cell appearance, growth pattern and extent of disease and is now considered to represent a heterogeneous group of in situ neoplastic processes. When DCIS involves the epidermis of the nipple only, without underlying invasive carcinoma or DCIS, the classification is Paget disease of the nipple, the majority of which are high nuclear grade and strongly positive for HER2.

Pleomorphic LCIS has overlapping features with DCIS and may be treated similarly, but at present there is insufficient evidence to establish definitive recommendations for treatment. The current understanding of the natural history of pleomorphic LCIS and florid LCIS is limited, and the optimal treatment is unknown with regard to pursuing negative margins and consideration of additional adjuvant therapies. Nevertheless, although pleomorphic and florid LCIS are not currently included in the AJCC pTis classification¹⁴ they remain as a category in the UICC TNM 8th edition¹⁵ and there is emerging evidence suggesting that these forms of LCIS might be better treated as DCIS,^{16,18} in particular the practice of excision to negative margins.

1 Back

Note 7 - Histological nuclear grade (Core)

Nuclear grading of entities within the scope of this dataset includes DCIS, encapsulated papillary carcinoma and solid papillary carcinoma in situ. For high nuclear grade encapsulated papillary carcinoma, the ICCR Invasive carcinoma of the breast dataset should be used.²

High nuclear grade is considered a high risk factor for recurrence¹⁹⁻²² and breast cancer specific mortality,²³ although some studies do not show such an effect,^{24,25} which may be due to interobserver variability in grading or use of different classification schemes.²⁶

Nuclear grade of DCIS is largely determined by nuclear size and pleomorphism although other morphologic features (see Table 1) are also useful.²⁷

| Feature | Grade I (Low) | Grade II (Intermediate) | Grade III (High) |
|--------------|---|-------------------------|---|
| Pleomorphism | Monotonous (monomorphic) | Intermediate | Markedly pleomorphic |
| Size | 1.5 to 2 x the size of a normal RBC or a normal duct epithelial cell nucleus | Intermediate | >2.5 x the size of a normal RBC or a normal duct epithelial cell nucleus |
| Chromatin | Usually diffuse, finely dispersed chromatin | Intermediate | Usually vesicular with irregular chromatin distribution |
| Nucleoli | Only occasional | | Prominent, often multiple |
| Mitoses | Only occasional | Intermediate | May be frequent |
| Orientation | Polarised toward luminal spaces | Intermediate | Usually not polarised toward the luminal space |

Definition: RBC, red blood cell.

Reproduced with permission from College of American Pathologists (CAP). *Protocol for the Examination of Resection Specimens From Patients With Ductal Carcinoma In Situ (DCIS) of the Breast*. Breast DCIS Resection 4.3.0.2. College of American Pathologists, February 2020.²⁸

1 Back

Note 8 - Histological architectural pattern (Non-core)

Historically DCIS has been classified according to architectural pattern with some systems also including 'comedo DCIS' as an architectural type. Other classification systems have used nuclear grade and the presence or absence of comedo necrosis for categorisation. It should be noted that comedo necrosis can be seen in association with a range of architectural patterns and nuclear grades.^{27,29}

However, there is significant variability of architectural pattern within an individual case of DCIS, and the perceived lack of reproducibility makes its application problematic. Therefore, cytonuclear morphology is now recommended for histological grading of DCIS²⁸ as although true grade variation does occur, in general, there is greater homogeneity of nuclear grade than of architectural pattern in DCIS within a lesion.³⁰

1 Back

Note 9 - Necrosis (Core)

Although there is significant inter-observer variation, two broad types of necrosis have been identified: 1) Central (comedo) necrosis, most often associated with high nuclear grade and worse breast cancer specific survival²³ but only inconsistently with recurrence; and 2) Focal (punctate) necrosis, the clinical significance of which is unclear. Therefore, a pragmatic approach for classification of necrosis is proposed: central (comedo), focal (punctate) and 'not identified' as follows:

- **Central ("comedo"):** The central portion of an involved ductal space is replaced by an area of expansive necrosis that is easily detected at low magnification. Ghost cells and karyorrhectic debris are generally present. Although central (comedo) necrosis is generally associated with high grade nuclei, it can also occur with DCIS of intermediate (or occasionally low) nuclear grade and in pleomorphic LCIS and florid LCIS.
- Focal ("punctate"): Small foci, or single cell necrosis (≤10%) that are indistinct at low magnification, which are not considered central (comedo).
- Necrosis not identified.

Although there is inconsistency in the thresholds and criteria used to assign presence or absence of central (comedo) necrosis, a cut off of at least 10% of duct diameter which captures most central (comedo) necrosis³¹ is to be used, with focal (punctate) necrosis as <10%.

The presence of necrosis is associated with mammographic calcifications, with central (comedo) necrosis often correlating with a linear and/or branching pattern on radiology. There is also frequent calcification in patients with recurrent DCIS that originally presented with mammographic calcifications.^{22,25}

1 Back

Note 10 - Margin status (Core and Non-core)

Assessment of the adequacy of excision requires close correlation between the surgical excision procedure and pathological examination and in some circumstances such as the presence of calcification, as well as radiological correlation. In particular it is essential that the pathologist is made aware of the depth of tissue excised and whether the surgeon has excised all the tissue from the subcutis to the pectoral fascia.

There remains some controversy regarding the minimum width of uninvolved tissue that defines 'complete' excision in breast conserving surgery, although narrower margins are now more widely accepted as adequate than previously. For this reason it is recommended that the pathologist reports the measurement of the distance between the inked margins and DCIS (and invasive carcinoma).

Some centres find it helpful to report the approximate extent of margin involvement and the following system is recommended:

- Unifocal: one focus of carcinoma at the margin (single duct involvement)
- Multifocal: two or more foci of carcinoma at the margin
- Extensive: carcinoma present at the margin over a broad front (>5 mm).

If additional margins are taken, it is important to incorporate that into the margin measurements.

Note: There is an assumption that all breast tissue will be resected in patients undergoing a complete mastectomy and that pathological examination of margins is of limited value. However, there is evidence that margin involvement can increase the risk of local recurrence after mastectomy^{32,33} and a statement of the distance to the closest margin(s) and site(s) of margin (including nipple if nipple sparing mastectomy) for such mastectomy specimens should be included.

1 Back

Note 11 - Biopsy site (Core) and Coexistent pathology (Non-core)

In some cases, other pathologic findings are important for the clinical management of patients.

If the biopsy was performed for a benign lesion and the DCIS is an incidental finding, this should be documented e.g., DCIS in an excision for a palpable fibroadenoma.

Peritumoural lymphovascular invasion is a very rare finding in association with DCIS alone. Additional sampling should be pursued to attempt to identify an area of invasion. If there has been prior surgery or needle biopsy, the possibility of artifactual displacement of epithelial cells into lymphatics should be considered. Lymph node biopsy may be performed in patients with DCIS and lymphovascular invasion.

If there has been a prior core needle biopsy or incisional biopsy, the biopsy site should be sampled and documented in the report. If the intention was to completely re-excise a prior surgical site, the report should document biopsy changes at the margin that could indicate an incomplete excision.

In some situations, inclusion of coexisting conditions can be also considered beneficial if this supports clinicopathological correlation or patient management. Examples include: microcalcification detected mammographically and extension into or involvement of a benign lesion such as a sclerosing lesion, papillary lesion, or fibroepithelial lesion.

An exhaustive description of all coexisting conditions is not required.



Note 12 - Microcalcifications (Core)

Ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) found in biopsies performed for microcalcifications will almost always be at the site of the calcifications or in close proximity.^{29,34} Some of these lesions may also include an invasive component.

The pathologist must be satisfied that the specimen has been sampled in such a way that the lesion responsible for the calcifications has been examined microscopically. The presence of the targeted calcifications in the specimen can be confirmed by specimen radiography. The relationship of the radiologic calcifications to the DCIS should be indicated.

1 Back

Note 13 - Ancillary studies (Core and Non-core)

The results of any additional ancillary studies such as multigene test results are recommended to be included or added subsequently to the pathology report to ensure a record of all assays performed on the case are recorded in a single comprehensive report. Testing of DCIS for estrogen receptor (ER) is recommended to determine potential benefit of endocrine therapy as adjuvant chemo-prevention (depending on surgery undertaken), while testing DCIS for progesterone receptor (PR) is considered optional, and testing for other biomarkers is currently not relevant.³⁵

1 Back

Note 14 - Pathological staging (Core)

The Tumour Node Metastasis (TNM) system of the UICC 8th edition Staging Manual is recommended.¹⁵

Pathologic Classification

Additional descriptors can be used:

The suffix 'm' indicates the presence of multiple primary tumours in a single site and is recorded in parentheses, e.g., pT(m) NM.

The 'r' prefix indicates a recurrent tumour when staging is carried out after a documented disease-free interval.

1 Back

References

- 1 Merlin T, Weston A and Tooher R (2009). Extending an evidence hierarchy to include topics other than treatment: revising the Australian 'levels of evidence'. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 9:34.
- 2 International Collaboration on Cancer Reporting (2021). *Invasive Carcinoma of the Breast Histopathology Reporting Guide*. Available from: http://www.iccrcancer.org/datasets/published-datasets/breast (Accessed 20th June 2021).
- 3 International Collaboration on Cancer Reporting (2021). *Surgically Removed Lymph Nodes for Breast Tumours Histopathology Reporting Guide*. Available from: http://www.iccr-cancer.org/datasets/published-datasets/breast (Accessed 20th June 2021).
- 4 MacDonald HR, Silverstein MJ, Mabry H, Moorthy B, Ye W, Epstein MS, Holmes D, Silberman H and Lagios M (2005). Local control in ductal carcinoma in situ treated by excision alone: incremental benefit of larger margins. *Am J Surg* 190(4):521-525.
- 5 O'Sullivan MJ and Morrow M (2007). Ductal carcinoma in situ--current management. *Surg Clin North Am* 87(2):333-351, viii.
- Dillon MF, Mc Dermott EW, O'Doherty A, Quinn CM, Hill AD and O'Higgins N (2007).
 Factors affecting successful breast conservation for ductal carcinoma in situ. Ann Surg Oncol 14(5):1618-1628.
- 7 Cheng L, Al-Kaisi NK, Gordon NH, Liu AY, Gebrail F and Shenk RR (1997). Relationship between the size and margin status of ductal carcinoma in situ of the breast and residual disease. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 89(18):1356-1360.
- 8 Sigal-Zafrani B, Lewis JS, Clough KB, Vincent-Salomon A, Fourquet A, Meunier M, Falcou MC and Sastre-Garau X (2004). Histological margin assessment for breast ductal carcinoma in situ: precision and implications. *Mod Pathol* 17(1):81-88.
- 9 Neuschatz AC, DiPetrillo T, Steinhoff M, Safaii H, Yunes M, Landa M, Chung M, Cady B and Wazer DE (2002). The value of breast lumpectomy margin assessment as a predictor of residual tumor burden in ductal carcinoma in situ of the breast. *Cancer* 94(7):1917-1924.
- 10 Di Saverio S, Catena F, Santini D, Ansaloni L, Fogacci T, Mignani S, Leone A, Gazzotti F, Gagliardi S, De Cataldis A and Taffurelli M (2008). 259 Patients with DCIS of the breast applying USC/Van Nuys prognostic index: a retrospective review with long term follow up. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 109(3):405-416.
- 11 Asjoe FT, Altintas S, Huizing MT, Colpaert C, Marck EV, Vermorken JB and Tjalma WA (2007). The value of the Van Nuys Prognostic Index in ductal carcinoma in situ of the breast: a retrospective analysis. *Breast J* 13(4):359-367.

- 12 Maffuz A, Barroso-Bravo S, Najera I, Zarco G, Alvarado-Cabrero I and Rodriguez-Cuevas SA (2006). Tumor size as predictor of microinvasion, invasion, and axillary metastasis in ductal carcinoma in situ. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res* 25(2):223-227.
- Moore KH, Sweeney KJ, Wilson ME, Goldberg JI, Buchanan CL, Tan LK, Liberman L, Turner RR, Lagios MD, Cody Iii HS, Giuliano AE, Silverstein MJ and Van Zee KJ (2007). Outcomes for women with ductal carcinoma-in-situ and a positive sentinel node: a multi-institutional audit. Ann Surg Oncol 14(10):2911-2917.
- 14 Amin MB, Edge S, Greene FL, Byrd DR, Brookland RK, Washington MK, Gershenwald JE, Compton CC, Hess KR, Sullivan DC, Jessup JM, Brierley JD, Gaspar LE, Schilsky RL, Balch CM, Winchester DP, Asare EA, Madera M, Gress DM and Meyer LR (eds) (2017). AJCC Cancer Staging Manual. 8th ed. Springer., New York.
- 15 Brierley JD, Gospodarowicz MK and Wittekind C (eds) (2016). Union for International Cancer Control. TNM Classification of Malignant Tumours, 8th Edition, Wiley, USA.
- 16 WHO Classification of Tumours Editorial Board (2019). *Breast Tumours. WHO Classification of Tumours, 5th Edition*. IARC Publications, Lyon.
- 17 WHO Classification of Tumours Editorial Board (2020). *Breast Tumours, WHO Classification of Tumours, 5th Edition, Volume 2 - Corrigenda September 2020.* Available from: https://publications.iarc.fr/Book-And-Report-Series/Who-Classification-Of-Tumours/Breast-Tumours-2019 (Accessed 16th June 2021).
- 18 Foschini MP, Miglio R, Fiore R, Baldovini C, Castellano I, Callagy G, Bianchi S, Kaya H, Amendoeira I, Querzoli P, Poli F, Scatena C, Cordoba A, Pietribiasi F, Kovács A, Faistova H, Cserni G and Quinn C (2019). Pre-operative management of Pleomorphic and florid lobular carcinoma in situ of the breast: Report of a large multi-institutional series and review of the literature. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 45(12):2279-2286.
- 19 Cheung S, Booth ME, Kearins O and Dodwell D (2014). Risk of subsequent invasive breast cancer after a diagnosis of ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS). *Breast* 23(6):807-811.
- 20 Kerlikowske K, Molinaro AM, Gauthier ML, Berman HK, Waldman F, Bennington J, Sanchez H, Jimenez C, Stewart K, Chew K, Ljung BM and Tlsty TD (2010). Biomarker expression and risk of subsequent tumors after initial ductal carcinoma in situ diagnosis. J Natl Cancer Inst 102(9):627-637.
- 21 Rakovitch E, Nofech-Mozes S, Hanna W, Narod S, Thiruchelvam D, Saskin R, Spayne J, Taylor C and Paszat L (2012). HER2/neu and Ki-67 expression predict non-invasive recurrence following breast-conserving therapy for ductal carcinoma in situ. *Br J Cancer* 106(6):1160-1165.
- 22 Wang SY, Shamliyan T, Virnig BA and Kane R (2011). Tumor characteristics as predictors of local recurrence after treatment of ductal carcinoma in situ: a meta-analysis. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 127(1):1-14.

- 23 Narod SA, Iqbal J, Giannakeas V, Sopik V and Sun P (2015). Breast Cancer Mortality After a Diagnosis of Ductal Carcinoma In Situ. *JAMA Oncol* 1(7):888-896.
- Falk RS, Hofvind S, Skaane P and Haldorsen T (2011). Second events following ductal carcinoma in situ of the breast: a register-based cohort study. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 129(3):929-938.
- 25 Zhang X, Dai H, Liu B, Song F and Chen K (2016). Predictors for local invasive recurrence of ductal carcinoma in situ of the breast: a meta-analysis. *Eur J Cancer Prev* 25(1):19-28.
- 26 Cserni G and Sejben A (2020). Grading Ductal Carcinoma In Situ (DCIS) of the Breast What's Wrong with It? *Pathology & Oncology Research* 26(2):665-671.
- 27 Anonymous (1997). Consensus Conference on the classification of ductal carcinoma in situ. The Consensus Conference Committee. *Cancer* 80(9):1798-1802.
- 28 College of American Pathologists (2020). *Protocol for the Examination of Resection Specimens From Patients With Ductal Carcinoma In Situ (DCIS) of the Breast*. Available from: https://documents.cap.org/protocols/cp-breast-dcis-resection-19-4301.pdf (Accessed 20th September 2020).
- Silverstein MJ, Lagios MD, Recht A, Allred DC, Harms SE, Holland R, Holmes DR, Hughes LL, Jackman RJ, Julian TB, Kuerer HM, Mabry HC, McCready DR, McMasters KM, Page DL, Parker SH, Pass HA, Pegram M, Rubin E, Stavros AT, Tripathy D, Vicini F and Whitworth PW (2005). Image-detected breast cancer: state of the art diagnosis and treatment. J Am Coll Surg 201(4):586-597.
- 30 Quinn CM and Ostrowski JL (1997). Cytological and architectural heterogeneity in ductal carcinoma in situ of the breast. *J Clin Pathol* 50(7):596-599.
- 31 Harrison BT, Hwang ES, Partridge AH, Thompson AM and Schnitt SJ (2019). Variability in diagnostic threshold for comedo necrosis among breast pathologists: implications for patient eligibility for active surveillance trials of ductal carcinoma in situ. *Mod Pathol* 32(9):1257-1262.
- Fitzsullivan E, Lari SA, Smith B, Caudle AS, Krishnamurthy S, Lucci A, Mittendorf EA, Babiera GV, Black DM, Wagner JL, Bedrosian I, Woodward W, Gainer SM, Hwang R, Meric-Bernstam F, Hunt KK and Kuerer HM (2013). Incidence and consequence of close margins in patients with ductal carcinoma-in situ treated with mastectomy: is further therapy warranted? Ann Surg Oncol 20(13):4103-4112.
- 33 Glorioso JM, Gonzalez Juarrero AB, Rodysill BR, Harmsen WS, Habermann EB, Carter JM, Mutter RW, Degnim AC and Jakub JW (2017). Margin Proximity Correlates with Local Recurrence After Mastectomy for Patients Not Receiving Adjuvant Radiotherapy. *Ann Surg Oncol* 24(11):3148-3156.

- 34 Owings DV, Hann L and Schnitt SJ (1990). How thoroughly should needle localization breast biopsies be sampled for microscopic examination? A prospective mammographic/pathologic correlative study. *Am J Surg Pathol* 14(6):578-583.
- 35 Allison KH, Hammond MEH, Dowsett M, McKernin SE, Carey LA, Fitzgibbons PL, Hayes DF, Lakhani SR, Chavez-MacGregor M, Perlmutter J, Perou CM, Regan MM, Rimm DL, Symmans WF, Torlakovic EE, Varella L, Viale G, Weisberg TF, McShane LM and Wolff AC (2020). Estrogen and Progesterone Receptor Testing in Breast Cancer: ASCO/CAP Guideline Update. J Clin Oncol 38(12):1346-1366.