

Histological tumour type (Core and Non-core)

All endometrial carcinomas should be classified according to the World Health Organization (WHO) Classification of Tumours, Female Genital Tumours, 5th edition, 2020 (Table 1).¹ The International Collaboration on Cancer Reporting (ICCR) dataset includes 5th edition Corrigenda, June 2021.² It is beyond the scope of this dataset to provide detailed information about the microscopic features of each histologic type. However, some points are highlighted for clarification, particularly regarding the main modifications introduced in the 2020 WHO Classification.³

Histological tumour type has consistently been demonstrated as an important biological predictor in endometrial carcinoma. Accurate histological typing is important both in biopsy and resection specimens. Moreover, assessment of histological type determines the extent of the initial surgical procedure, and subsequent use of adjuvant therapy.⁴

Bokhman first described in 1984, two main pathogenetic types based on epidemiological studies and this concept was subsequently further expanded.^{5,6} Type I carcinomas are considered low grade, estrogen-related, often clinically indolent and histologically mostly of endometrioid type. In contrast, type II carcinomas are clinically aggressive carcinomas and unrelated to estrogen stimulation and histologically non-endometrioid, particularly of serous and clear cell type. Although the type I versus type II classification is interesting for educational and epidemiological purposes, it is not useful for tumour stratification from the pathologic viewpoint, because there are significant overlapping features at the clinical, pathological, and molecular levels.⁷⁻⁹

Low grade (grade 1 and 2) endometrioid carcinomas are the most common tumours and are usually associated with favourable outcome. The prognosis for serous carcinoma is worse with recurrence occurring in about 50% of serous carcinomas compared with 20% recurrence in endometrioid carcinomas. Tumours that show combined or mixed features are rare but do occur. Although there is moderate to excellent ($\kappa=0.62-0.87$) reproducibility in histological typing, inter-observer agreement is worse in high grade carcinomas.¹⁰⁻¹²

Low grade endometrioid carcinoma is usually composed of cells arranged in a branching, maze-like glandular or complex papillary pattern of growth, while high grade endometrioid carcinoma has a predominant solid architecture,¹³ and serous carcinoma has a complex architectural pattern with papillae and cellular budding.¹⁴ However, serous carcinomas with a prominent glandular pattern can frequently be mistaken as low grade endometrioid carcinoma,^{15,16} and endometrioid carcinoma with papillary pattern can sometimes be misinterpreted as serous carcinoma.¹⁷

Low grade endometrioid carcinoma exhibits some specific types of terminal differentiation such as squamous and mucinous differentiation or specific patterns of growth such as villoglandular, small non-villous papillae, microglandular, sex cord-like formations, corded and hyalinised patterns and sertoliform structures. The 2020 WHO Classification³ incorporates mucinous carcinoma as a variant of low grade endometrioid carcinoma due to its shared molecular features and natural history. Predominant mucinous features do not significantly affect survival when compared with non-mucinous endometrial carcinomas, although, in some series, the mucinous type has a higher tendency to develop lymph node metastasis,¹⁸ and distinction from proliferative, but not malignant, mucinous lesions may be challenging.¹⁹ The 2020 WHO Classification clearly distinguishes the mucinous variant of endometrioid carcinoma from gastrointestinal-type mucinous endometrioid carcinoma,^{3,20} a rare type of tumour with different features and worse prognosis.

High grade endometrioid carcinoma is characterised by a solid growth pattern associated with mostly moderate nuclear atypia and an increased number of mitoses. Application of the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA)-molecular surrogate has demonstrated that this is a heterogeneous group of tumours.²¹ This is one of the scenarios that shows the importance of integrating histologic typing with molecular classification.

Serous carcinoma is distinguished from endometrioid carcinoma by its marked nuclear pleomorphism and prominent nucleoli in the background of mostly well differentiated architecture, which is typically papillary, but can also be glandular or even solid. In contrast to the typical round, smooth and regular glandular lumens in endometrioid carcinoma, the luminal surface in serous carcinoma is irregular and the glandular structure often slit-like. Mitoses are prominent. The non-invasive type (formerly called serous endometrial intraepithelial carcinoma) is part of the spectrum of serous carcinoma, which is no longer included as a precursor lesion and can give rise to extrauterine metastasis.²²

Clear cell carcinoma is infrequent and strict adherence to architectural and cytological diagnostic criteria is necessary, since clear cells are commonly present in endometrioid and serous carcinomas.²³⁻²⁶ The major architectural patterns are tubulocystic, papillary and solid, and frequently these patterns are admixed. Tumour cells show cuboidal, polygonal, hobnail, or flat appearances, with clear or eosinophilic cytoplasm.

Undifferentiated carcinoma is usually composed of small to intermediate-sized, non-cohesive cells of relatively uniform size arranged in sheets. If a second component of differentiated carcinoma is present, which is most frequently a low grade endometrioid carcinoma occurring in approximately 40% of cases, the term dedifferentiated carcinoma is used.^{27,28} The differentiated component can be low or high grade.²⁹ A significant number of un-/dedifferentiated carcinomas are characterised by an inactivating mutation resulting in loss of SMARCA4 or SMARCB1 protein.³⁰

Mixed carcinomas are composed of two or more discrete histological types of endometrial carcinoma, of which at least one component is either serous or clear cell.³¹⁻³⁴ Rigorous criteria should be applied to distinguish them from heterogeneous endometrioid carcinomas (e.g., with a mixture of villoglandular, squamous and mucinous areas), which are frequently associated with MMR deficiency or *POLE* mutations.³⁵ Any percentage of high grade carcinoma is sufficient to classify the tumour as a mixed endometrial carcinoma. A diagnosis of mixed carcinoma should only be used when both components exhibit a characteristic morphology and immunophenotype.³⁴

Carcinosarcoma, formerly included in the group of mixed epithelial and stromal tumours, is now classified as a distinct type of endometrial carcinoma and shows the typical biphasic pattern morphologically.³⁴ The carcinomatous component shows high grade morphology (serous, endometrioid, mixed or ambiguous), and shows a sharp demarcation from the sarcomatous component. The sarcomatous component can be homologous (no specific mesenchymal differentiation or differentiation towards smooth muscle of endometrial stroma phenotype) or heterologous (mesenchymal differentiation towards mesenchymal lineages not seen primarily in the uterus such as cartilaginous, osseous, skeletal muscle and adipocytic).

Several studies have shown that the presence of heterologous elements in carcinosarcomas is an important adverse prognostic feature particularly in Stage I tumours.^{36,37} Reporting of the percentage of epithelial and sarcomatous elements and whether the sarcomatous component is homologous or heterologous is a non-core element. The rare instance of carcinoma arising in an adenosarcoma appears to be a distinct biologic process and should not be diagnosed as carcinosarcoma.³⁸

The 2020 WHO Classification³ includes novel tumour types, such as squamous cell carcinoma, mesonephric and mesonephric-like adenocarcinoma,^{39,40} as well as gastrointestinal-type mucinous carcinoma.²⁰

Neuroendocrine carcinomas of the endometrium are included in the section on neuroendocrine tumours of the female genital tract in the 2020 WHO Classification.^{3,41} Reporting of the neuroendocrine carcinoma subtype is a non-core feature.

Endometrial carcinomas should be adequately sampled. The International Society of Gynecological Pathologists (ISGyP) 2019 guidelines recommend one section per 10 millimetres, considering the largest tumour dimension.⁴² An alternative, when dealing with large tumours, is to submit at least four blocks of tumour. However, the entire endometrium and underlying inner myometrium should be submitted for microscopic examination in the setting of a preoperative endometrial specimen demonstrating malignancy, when no gross lesion is seen in the hysterectomy specimen.⁴²

Table 1: World Health Organization classification of tumours of the uterine corpus.¹

Descriptor	ICD-O codes ^a
Endometrial epithelial tumours and precursors	
Endometrial hyperplasia without atypia	
Atypical hyperplasia of the endometrium	8380/2
Endometrioid adenocarcinoma NOS	8380/3
<i>POLE</i> -ultramutated endometrioid carcinoma	
Mismatch repair-deficient endometrioid carcinoma	
P53-mutant endometrioid carcinoma	
No specific molecular profile (NSMP) endometrioid carcinoma	
Serous carcinoma NOS	8441/3
Clear cell adenocarcinoma NOS	8310/3
Carcinoma, undifferentiated, NOS	8020/3
Mixed cell adenocarcinoma	8323/3
Mesonephric adenocarcinoma	9110/3
Squamous cell carcinoma NOS	8070/3
Mucinous carcinoma, gastric (gastrointestinal)-type ^b	8144/3
Mesonephric-like adenocarcinoma	9113/3 ^c
Carcinosarcoma NOS	8980/3
Neuroendocrine tumour NOS	8240/3

^a These morphology codes are from the International Classification of Diseases for Oncology, third edition, second revision (ICD-O-3.2).⁴³ Behaviour is coded /0 for benign tumours; /1 for unspecified, borderline, or uncertain behaviour; /2 for carcinoma in situ and grade III intraepithelial neoplasia; and /3 for malignant tumours, primary site; and /6 for malignant tumours, metastatic site. Subtype labels are indented.

^b Codes marked with an asterisk were approved by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC)/World Health Organization (WHO) Committee for ICD-O at its meeting in June 2020. Incorporates all relevant changes from the 5th edition Corrigenda June 2021.

References

- 1 Kurman RJ, Carcangiu ML, Herrington CS and Young RH (2014). *WHO classification of tumours of the female reproductive organs*. IARC press, Lyon.
- 2 WHO Classification of Tumours Editorial Board (2021). *Female Genital Tumours, WHO Classification of Tumours, 5th Edition, Volume 4 - Corrigenda June 2021*. Available from: <https://publications.iarc.fr/Book-And-Report-Series/Who-Classification-Of-Tumours/Female-Genital-Tumours-2020> (Accessed 16th June 2021).
- 3 WHO Classification of Tumours Editorial Board (2020). *Female Genital Tumours, WHO Classification of Tumours, 5th Edition, Volume 4*. IARC Press, Lyon.
- 4 Colombo N, Creutzberg C, Amant F, Bosse T, González-Martín A, Ledermann J, Marth C, Nout R, Querleu D, Mirza MR and Sessa C (2016). ESMO-ESGO-ESTRO Consensus Conference on Endometrial Cancer: diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol* 27(1):16-41.
- 5 Lax SF and Kurman RJ (1997). A dualistic model for endometrial carcinogenesis based on immunohistochemical and molecular genetic analyses. *Verh Dtsch Ges Pathol* 81:228-232.
- 6 Bokhman Ia V and Vishnevskii AS (1984). [2 pathogenetic variants of corpus uteri cancer]. *Akush Ginekol (Mosk)*(4):34-37.
- 7 Piulats JM, Guerra E, Gil-Martín M, Roman-Canal B, Gatiús S, Sanz-Pamplona R, Velasco A, Vidal A and Matias-Guiu X (2017). Molecular approaches for classifying endometrial carcinoma. *Gynecol Oncol* 145(1):200-207.
- 8 Yeramian A, Moreno-Bueno G, Dolcet X, Catusus L, Abal M, Colas E, Reventos J, Palacios J, Prat J and Matias-Guiu X (2013). Endometrial carcinoma: molecular alterations involved in tumor development and progression. *Oncogene* 32(4):403-413.
- 9 Kurman RJ, Visvanathan K and Shih Ie M (2013). Bokhman's dualistic model of endometrial carcinoma. Revisited. *Gynecol Oncol* 129(2):271-272.
- 10 Gilks CB, Oliva E and Soslow RA (2013). Poor interobserver reproducibility in the diagnosis of high-grade endometrial carcinoma. *Am J Surg Pathol* 37(6):874-881.
- 11 Hoang LN, McConechy MK, Köbel M, Han G, Rouzbahman M, Davidson B, Irving J, Ali RH, Leung S, McAlpine JN, Oliva E, Nucci MR, Soslow RA, Huntsman DG, Gilks CB and Lee CH (2013). Histotype-genotype correlation in 36 high-grade endometrial carcinomas. *Am J Surg Pathol* 37(9):1421-1432.
- 12 Murali R, Davidson B, Fadare O, Carlson JA, Crum CP, Gilks CB, Irving JA, Malpica A, Matias-Guiu X, McCluggage WG, Mittal K, Oliva E, Parkash V, Rutgers JKL, Staats PN, Stewart CJR, Tornos C and Soslow RA (2019). High-grade endometrial carcinomas: morphologic and immunohistochemical features, diagnostic challenges and recommendations. *Int J Gynecol Pathol* 38 Suppl 1(Iss 1 Suppl 1):S40-s63.
- 13 Azueta A, Gatiús S and Matias-Guiu X (2010). Endometrioid carcinoma of the endometrium: pathologic and molecular features. *Semin Diagn Pathol* 27(4):226-240.
- 14 Gatiús S and Matias-Guiu X (2016). Practical issues in the diagnosis of serous carcinoma of the endometrium. *Mod Pathol* 29 Suppl 1:S45-58.

- 15 Darvishian F, Hummer AJ, Thaler HT, Bhargava R, Linkov I, Asher M and Soslow RA (2004). Serous endometrial cancers that mimic endometrioid adenocarcinomas: a clinicopathologic and immunohistochemical study of a group of problematic cases. *Am J Surg Pathol* 28(12):1568-1578.
- 16 Garg K and Soslow RA (2012). Strategies for distinguishing low-grade endometrioid and serous carcinomas of endometrium. *Adv Anat Pathol* 19(1):1-10.
- 17 Bartosch C, Manuel Lopes J and Oliva E (2011). Endometrial carcinomas: a review emphasizing overlapping and distinctive morphological and immunohistochemical features. *Adv Anat Pathol* 18(6):415-437.
- 18 Rauh-Hain JA, Vargas RJ, Clemmer J, Clark RM, Bradford LS, Growdon WB, Goodman A, Boruta DM, 2nd, Schorge JO and del Carmen MG (2016). Mucinous adenocarcinoma of the endometrium compared with endometrioid endometrial cancer: a SEER analysis. *Am J Clin Oncol* 39(1):43-48.
- 19 Rawish KR, Desouki MM and Fadare O (2017). Atypical mucinous glandular proliferations in endometrial samplings: follow-up and other clinicopathological findings in 41 cases. *Hum Pathol* 63:53-62.
- 20 Wong RW, Ralte A, Grondin K, Talia KL and McCluggage WG (2020). Endometrial gastric (gastrointestinal)-type mucinous lesions: report of a series illustrating the spectrum of benign and malignant lesions. *Am J Surg Pathol* 44(3):406-419.
- 21 Bosse T, Nout RA, McAlpine JN, McConechy MK, Britton H, Hussein YR, Gonzalez C, Ganesan R, Steele JC, Harrison BT, Oliva E, Vidal A, Matias-Guiu X, Abu-Rustum NR, Levine DA, Gilks CB and Soslow RA (2018). Molecular classification of grade 3 endometrioid endometrial cancers identifies distinct prognostic subgroups. *Am J Surg Pathol* 42(5):561-568.
- 22 Soslow RA, Pirog E and Isacson C (2000). Endometrial intraepithelial carcinoma with associated peritoneal carcinomatosis. *Am J Surg Pathol* 24(5):726-732.
- 23 Fadare O, Zheng W, Crispens MA, Jones HW, Khabele D, Gwin K, Liang SX, Mohammed K, Desouki MM, Parkash V and Hecht JL (2013). Morphologic and other clinicopathologic features of endometrial clear cell carcinoma: a comprehensive analysis of 50 rigorously classified cases. *Am J Cancer Res* 3(1):70-95.
- 24 Fadare O, Parkash V, Dupont WD, Acs G, Atkins KA, Irving JA, Pirog EC, Quade BJ, Quddus MR, Rabban JT, 3rd, Vang R and Hecht JL (2012). The diagnosis of endometrial carcinomas with clear cells by gynecologic pathologists: an assessment of interobserver variability and associated morphologic features. *Am J Surg Pathol* 36(8):1107-1118.
- 25 Hariri N, Qarmali M and Fadare O (2018). Endometrial serous carcinoma with clear-cell change: frequency and immunohistochemical analysis. *Int J Surg Pathol* 26(2):126-134.
- 26 Han G, Soslow RA, Wethington S, Levine DA, Bogomolny F, Clement PB, Köbel M, Gilks B and DeLair D (2015). Endometrial carcinomas with clear cells: a study of a heterogeneous group of tumors including interobserver variability, mutation analysis, and immunohistochemistry with HNF-1 β . *Int J Gynecol Pathol* 34(4):323-333.
- 27 Rosa-Rosa JM, Leskelä S, Cristóbal-Lana E, Santón A, López-García M, Muñoz G, Pérez-Mies B, Biscuola M, Prat J, Esther O, Soslow RA, Matias-Guiu X and Palacios J (2016). Molecular genetic heterogeneity in undifferentiated endometrial carcinomas. *Mod Pathol* 29(11):1390-1398.

- 28 Silva EG, Deavers MT and Malpica A (2007). Undifferentiated carcinoma of the endometrium: a review. *Pathology* 39(1):134-138.
- 29 Busca A, Parra-Herran C, Nofech-Mozes S, Djordjevic B, Ismiil N, Cesari M, Nucci MR and Mirkovic J (2020). Undifferentiated endometrial carcinoma arising in the background of high-grade endometrial carcinoma - Expanding the definition of dedifferentiated endometrial carcinoma. *Histopathology* 77(5):769-780.
- 30 Tessier-Cloutier B, Coatham M, Carey M, Nelson GS, Hamilton S, Lum A, Soslow RA, Stewart CJ, Postovit LM, Köbel M and Lee CH (2020). SWI/SNF-deficiency defines highly aggressive undifferentiated endometrial carcinoma. *J Pathol Clin Res*:DOI: 10.1002/cjp1002.1188.
- 31 Matrai CE, Pirog EC and Ellenson LH (2018). Despite diagnostic morphology, many mixed endometrial carcinomas show unexpected immunohistochemical staining patterns. *Int J Gynecol Pathol* 37(5):405-413.
- 32 Coenegrachts L, Garcia-Dios DA, Depreeuw J, Santacana M, Gatus S, Zikan M, Moerman P, Verbist L, Lambrechts D, Matias-Guiu X and Amant F (2015). Mutation profile and clinical outcome of mixed endometrioid-serous endometrial carcinomas are different from that of pure endometrioid or serous carcinomas. *Virchows Arch* 466(4):415-422.
- 33 Köbel M, Meng B, Hoang LN, Almadani N, Li X, Soslow RA, Gilks CB and Lee CH (2016). Molecular analysis of mixed endometrial carcinomas shows clonality in most cases. *Am J Surg Pathol* 40(2):166-180.
- 34 Rabban JT, Gilks CB, Malpica A, Matias-Guiu X, Mittal K, Mutter GL, Oliva E, Parkash V, Ronnett BM, Staats P, Stewart CJR and McCluggage WG (2019). Issues in the differential diagnosis of uterine low-grade endometrioid carcinoma, including mixed endometrial carcinomas: recommendations from the international society of gynecological pathologists. *Int J Gynecol Pathol* 38 Suppl 1(Iss 1 Suppl 1):S25-s39.
- 35 Hussein YR, Weigelt B, Levine DA, Schoolmeester JK, Dao LN, Balzer BL, Liles G, Karlan B, Köbel M, Lee CH and Soslow RA (2015). Clinicopathological analysis of endometrial carcinomas harboring somatic POLE exonuclease domain mutations. *Mod Pathol* 28(4):505-514.
- 36 Abdulfatah E, Lordello L, Khurram M, Van de Vijver K, Alosch B, Bandyopadhyay S, Oliva E and Ali-Fehmi R (2019). Predictive histologic factors in carcinosarcomas of the uterus: a multi-institutional study. *Int J Gynecol Pathol* 38(3):205-215.
- 37 Ferguson SE, Tornos C, Hummer A, Barakat R and Soslow R (2007). Prognostic features of surgical stage I uterine carcinosarcoma. *Am J Surg Pathol* 31(11):1653-1661.
- 38 El Hallani S AR, Lin D, Måsbäck A, Mateoiu C, McCluggage WG, Nucci MR, Otis CN, Parkash V, Parra-Herran C, Longacre TA, (2021). Mixed endometrioid adenocarcinoma and Müllerian adenosarcoma of the uterus and ovary clinicopathologic characterization with emphasis on its distinction from carcinosarcoma. *American Journal of Surgical Pathology* DOI: 10.1097/PAS.0000000000001643.
- 39 Euscher ED, Bassett R, Duose DY, Lan C, Wistuba I, Ramondetta L, Ramalingam P and Malpica A (2020). Mesonephric-like carcinoma of the endometrium: a subset of endometrial carcinoma with an aggressive behavior. *Am J Surg Pathol* 44(4):429-443.

- 40 Horn LC, Höhn AK, Krücken I, Stiller M, Obeck U and Brambs CE (2020). Mesonephric-like adenocarcinomas of the uterine corpus: report of a case series and review of the literature indicating poor prognosis for this subtype of endometrial adenocarcinoma. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* 146(4):971-983.
- 41 Pocrnich CE, Ramalingam P, Euscher ED and Malpica A (2016). Neuroendocrine carcinoma of the endometrium: a clinicopathologic study of 25 cases. *Am J Surg Pathol* 40(5):577-586.
- 42 Malpica A, Euscher ED, Hecht JL, Ali-Fehmi R, Quick CM, Singh N, Horn LC, Alvarado-Cabrero I, Matias-Guiu X, Hirschowitz L, Duggan M, Ordi J, Parkash V, Mikami Y, Ruhul Quddus M, Zaino R, Staebler A, Zaloudek C, McCluggage WG and Oliva E (2019). Endometrial carcinoma, grossing and processing issues: recommendations of the international society of gynecologic pathologists. *Int J Gynecol Pathol* 38 Suppl 1(Iss 1 Suppl 1):S9-s24.
- 43 Fritz A, Percy C, Jack A, Shanmugaratnam K, Sobin LH, Parkin DM, Whelan SL (eds) (2020). *International Classification of Diseases for Oncology, Third edition, Second revision ICD-O-3.2*. Available from:
http://www.iacr.com.fr/index.php?option=com_content&view=category&layout=blog&id=100&Itemid=577 (Accessed 21st January 2021).